genital ring ; tail unjointed and hidden under the genital ring; fourth pair of feet small, the basal joint not alæform ; first three pairs of feet rudimentary, consisting only of plumose setæ.

Echthrogaleus affinis, M.-Edwards.
A single specimen of this species was found, but the name of the locality has unfortunately been lost.

## Pandarus, Leach.

Body broadest in front. Cephalothorax four-jointed; first segment very large, second short, and having alæform lateral processes; third and fuurth simple and bilobate. Abdomen two- or three-jointed, rounded behind; caudal stylets borne on the side of the abdomen, acute, styliform, non-setiferous. Eyes two. Four pairs of swimming feet, all two-branched, the fourth pair rudimentary. Sucking discs two (or three) pairs-two pairs on the sides of the carapace, and one at base of second antenna (Dana).

## 1. Pandarus cranchii, Leach (fide Steenstrup and Lütken).

> Pandarus crunchii, Steenstr. and Ltk., Bidrag til Kundskab om det aabne Havs Snyltekrebs og Lernæer, p. 50, Tab. xi. fig. 22.
> " carcharia (Leach ?), Burmeister, Neuen oder weniger bekannten Schmarotzerkrebse, p. 273, pl xxv.
> armatus, Heller, Crustacea Novara Exped., p. 202, Tab. xix. fig. 4.
> $? \quad " \quad$ concinnus, Dana, Crust. U. S. Expl. Fixped. (1852), p. 1366, pl. xev. fig. 1.

A large number of specimens found parasitic on Carcharias brachyurus, between Papua and Japan, and off the Kermadec Islands.

The form and proportions of this animal seem to be sulject to a good deal of variation, dependent perhaps upon the age of the specimen; the length and shape of the posterior abdominal segment and caudal stylets are especially variable; and amongst the Challenger specimens are many which I cannot distinguish from Dana's Pandarus concinnus. These, however, are lighter in colour, without the conspicuous brown or black blotches of the typical form, somewhat smaller (?), and altogether so different in appearance under the low magnifying power of a hand lens, that I at first set them aside as belonging to a distinct species. This, however, I believe to have been an error ; and as Heller's figures of Pandarus armatus very closely agree with the "concinnus" form, I am disposed to think that this also may fairly be taken as a synonym.

Heller observes that the male of Pandarus armatus is unknown, but that Nogagus

